A

### Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification Alternatives

September, 2000

Donald M. Melton

Lockheed Martin Michoud Space Systems
P.O. Box 29304, Dept. 4643
New Orleans, LA 70189
donald.melton@maf.rasa.gov

504-257-1782

### Primary Goal:

Maintain qualified cleaning and verification processes with environmentally compliant materials that perform to specifications to assure continued successful processing of the critical hardware.



### 4004 Review

# Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification Alternatives

### Scope:

Environmental regulations continue to impact cleaning and verification of component and large structures. The scope of this project was to qualify a safe and environmentally compliant LOX surface verification alternative to Freon 113, TCE and HCFC 225. The main effort was focused on initiating the evaluation and qualification of HCFC 225G as an alternate flush LOX verification



### 4004 Flants

## Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification Alternatives

### **Background:**

single component (3,3-Dichloropentafluoropropane), while HCFC 225 is a two component system (3,3-Dichloropentafluoropropane cleaning, vapor degreasing, and flushing. The major difference between HCFC 225 and HCFC 225G is that HCFC 225G is a HCFC 225G, is a cleaning solvent that is an environmentally compatible alternative for HCFC 225 in the areas of metal and 1,3-Dichloropentafluoropropane).

HCFC 225 product. The respective Threshold Limit Values (TLV) By removing the 1,3 isomer HCFC 225G is less toxic than the are 250 ppm versus 25 ppm.



### 1 4004 Herfer

## Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification Alternatives

### Approach:

- Evaluate candidates for LOX mechanical impact sensitivity testing with liquid oxygen by the procedure outlined in NHB 8060/NASA STD-6001 Test 13 Part #1.
- Evaluate candidates materials of construction compatibility on hardware
- Evaluate candidates cleaning efficiency by applying three (3) common contaminants to ten (10) 2219 Al test panels.
- percent (%) removal cleaning efficiency for each of the respective Perform Gravimetric analysis in order to determine the contaminants and candidate



### R 4004 Revise

## Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification Alternatives

### LOX Compatibility Test Results

MATERIAL	LOT	REACTIONS	RESULTS
HCFC 225G	59704211	0/20	PASSED
Freon 113	N/A	0/20	PASSED
HCFC 225	3114	0/20	PASSED
HFE 7100	N/A	0/20	PASSED



### 1 4004 Rende

## Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification Alternatives

### Metallic Hardware Compatibility Evaluation

HARDWARE	STP METHOD	PROCESS
2219 T-87 Panel 2219 T-87 Panel 2195 T-8M4 Panel 2195 T-8M4 Panel 2195 to 2219 Welded Panel 2195 to 2219 Welded Panel Cres 304L A-286 Cadmium Fasteners	5006 Method 1 3001 Class 1A 5006 Method 1 3001 Class 1A 5006 Method 1 3001 Class 1A 5007 5007	Cleaned Chem Film Cleaned Chem Film Cleaned Chem Film Passivate Passivate

observed for the respective metallic hardware tested with HCFC 225G or Freon The compatibility study consisted of submerging the hardware in HCFC 225G and/or Freon 113 (PCA) for thirty (30) days. No degradation or corrosion was



### Non-Metallic Hardware Compatibility Evaluation of HCFC 225G **Per ASTM D543-87**

**Dimensions** Material % Weight Change

No Change

Appearance.

No Change

No Change

Teflon

No Change

Viton

4.4 % Wt. Increase

Swelled



### Project Accomplishments:

Replacement materials and processes have been identified for regulated and phased out solvents used in LOX Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification:

- TCE usage reduced by > 99%
- Methylene Chloride usage eliminated
  - Freon PCA usage reduced by 95%



### Project Accomplishments:

regulated and phased out solvents used in LOX Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification: Replacement materials and processes have been identified for

- TCE usage reduced by > 99%
- Methylene Chloride usage eliminated
- Freon PCA usage reduced by 95%



### Average % Cleaning Efficiency of Ten Test Panels

J-414	61.3	31.5	75.2	68.5*
CRC 2-26	98.4	91.8	101.0	98.4*
Safe-Tap	64.7	9.96	106.0	93.3*
Solvent	Freon 113	HCFC 225	HCFC 225G	

\*10 Test Panels Pre-Cleaned with HCFC 225G and Contaminated



# Comparison of Other Solvents Average % Cleaning Efficiency

Solvent	Safe-Tap	CRC 2-26	J-414
Vertel MCA	54.6	88.6	58.8
HFE 7100DE	45.1	78.7	55.1
Freon 113	64.7	98.4	61.3
HCFC 225	9.96	91.8	31.5
HCFC 225G	93.3	98.4	68.5



### 4004 Review

## Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification Alternatives

### Hardware Qualification:

per Marshall Space Flight Center specification MSFC-SPEC-HCFC 225G qualification consisted of cleaning hardware 164B/C on the following items:

20 ft feedlines Clean Kits Tube Assemblies Mask Tool

contamination to an acceptable level of <1 mg/ft2, satisfying The results to-date indicate HCFC 225G removed the cleaning and verification requirement.



### CS 1001 Revision

### Critical Surface Cleaning and Verification

### Summary:

verification solvent for common industrial contaminates In summary HCFC 225G is an excellent cleaning and

